DNA and RNA "Traffic Lights": Synthetic Wavelength-Shifting Fluorescent Probes Based on Nucleic Acid Base Substitutes for Molecular Imaging

Carolin Holzhauser and Hans-Achim Wagenknecht*

Institute of Organic Chemistry, Karlsruhe Institute of Technolo[gy](#page-5-0) (KIT), D-76131 Karlsruhe, Germany

ABSTRACT: The DNA base substitute approach by the (S)-3-amino-1,2-propanediol linker allows placing two fluorophores in a precise way inside a given DNA framework. The double helical architecture around the fluorophores, especially the DNA-induced twist, is crucial for the desired photophysical interactions. Excitonic, excimer, and energy transfer interactions yield fluorescent DNA and RNA probes with dual emission color readout. Especially, our DNA and RNA "traffic light" that combines the green emission of TO with the red emission of TR represents an important tool for molecular imaging and can be applied as aptasensors and as probes to monitor the siRNA delivery into cells. The concept can be extended to the synthetically easier to access postsynthetic 2′-modifications and the NIR range. Thereby, the pool of tailor-made fluorescent nucleic acid conjugates can be extended.

 \bf{M} olecular imaging represents the most powerful technique
follow the action of biomologyles inside cells in real time $^{1-3}$ follow the action of biomolecules inside cells in real time.¹ A great variety of fluorescent probes and nanoparticles are available [for](#page-5-0) biological imaging.⁴⁻⁶ A complete toolbox for fluorescent tagging of protein locations and functions was created.⁷ On the other hand, tailor-made [fl](#page-5-0)[uo](#page-5-0)rescent labeling of nucleic aci[ds](#page-5-0) for molecular imaging has remained challenging.⁸ This stands in contrast to the central importance of DNA and RNA in cellular functions. Hence, visualizing nucleic acids represents [an](#page-5-0) important goal for chemical biology. The great advantage of nucleic acids-from an organic-synthetic point of view-is that they are synthesized by building blocks. In this bottom-up approach, fluorescent probes can be introduced synthetically by providing the corresponding DNA building blocks.⁹ If such building blocks were synthetically not obtainable or fluorescent probes are not compatible with the broadly applied ph[os](#page-5-0)phoramidite chemistry, postsynthetic methodologies allowed the modification of oligonucleotides.10[−]¹² Moreover, polymerase-assisted biochemical syntheses of labeled oligonucleotides were achieved, first tested in primer extensio[n](#page-5-0) [exp](#page-5-0)eriments (PEX) and subsequently applied for amplification of DNA by $\text{PCR}^{10,13}$ The latter approach has the advantage that longer and biologically relevant pieces can be prepared. Herein, we summarize o[ur](#page-5-0) [e](#page-5-0)fforts over the past decade to modify nucleic acids chemically with organic chromophores by the DNA base substitution approach. This allowed us to develop wavelength-shifting fluorescent probes ("DNA/RNA traffic lights") as a powerful tool for molecular imaging.

■ CONCEPT OF DNA BASE SUBSTITUTION: LESSONS FROM ETHIDIUM AND INDOLE

In principal, fluorophores can be conjugated to DNA/RNA bases, conjugated to the sugar moieties of nucleosides, or

incorporated as DNA/RNA base replacement.⁹ As we expected that the DNA architecture will play a major role for fluorophore interactions (vide infra), we chose the DNA/[RN](#page-5-0)A base replacement approach. In order to develop a generally and easily applicable way to substitute DNA bases synthetically by fluorophores, the 2′-deoxyribofuranoside was replaced by an acyclic linker between the phosphodiester bridges. This approach has several advantages: (i) These linkers lack hydrolytically labile glycosidic bonds and hence provide high chemical stability. This is important especially with respect to the positive charge of a variety of fluorophores (e.g., cyanines). Indeed, we observed a significant hydrolytic lability in the case of the ethidium nucleoside $1.^{14}$ (ii) Acyclic linkers are compatible with phosphoramidite chemistry since they provide two hydroxy functions with similar rea[cti](#page-5-0)vity as those of 2′-deoxyribofuranosides. (iii) Separation of anomeric mixtures is not necessary. (iv) Acyclic linkers provide enough conformational flexibility to intercalate the fluorophore. We apply (S)-3-amino-1,2-propanediol that was similarly used by Meggers et al. for the design and synthesis of glycol nucleic acids $(GNA)^{15}$ and by Pedersen et al. for twisted intercalating nucleic acids (TINA).¹⁶ In comparison to nucleoside 1, the number of c[arb](#page-5-0)on atoms (between the phosphodiester bridges) in the ethidium DNA [bu](#page-5-0)ilding block 2 is reduced from 3 to 2. The alternative ethidium DNA building block 3 contains (S)-threolinol as an acyclic linker, hence one carbon atom more, but exhibits similar destabilization in DNA as 2. 17,18 Structurally similar linkers, threolinol and serinol, were heavily applied by Asanuma et al. to develop acyclic threoli[nol](#page-5-0) and serinol nucleic acids (aTNA and SNA).¹⁹ In the meantime, this is an established chemistry for DNA base substitutions.

Received: May 8, 2013 Published: June 17, 2013

(Structure Block 1)

Two important questions need to be answered: (i) How do these non-nucleosidic DNA base substitutions influence the double-strand stability? The studies with indole as an artificial DNA base gave a surprising answer. The natural-like C-nucleoside 4 (pure β) destabilizes the DNA to a similar extent as the non-nucleosidic indole 5. ²⁰ Accordingly, destabilization needs to be assigned to both the poor stacking of the chromophore indole and structur[al](#page-5-0) influence of the non-natural linker. Chromophores (e.g., $BODIPY$,²¹ cyanines²²) that stack better will show smaller destabilization. (ii) How important is the S-configuration of the linker? [Flu](#page-5-0)orescen[ce](#page-5-0) quenching studies with nile blue revealed that the interactions between the fluorophore and the adjacent base pairs seem to be similar with the S- and R-configurated linker. 23

COMP[A](#page-5-0)RISON WITH DNA BASE MODIFICATIONS: LESSONS FROM BODIPY

DNA base modifications represent a commonly applied alternative concept for DNA base substitutions to synthetically attach fluorophores.²⁴ It is generally assumed that the fluorophore gets Watson−Crick pairing properties when it is attached to the DNA base. [We](#page-5-0) used representatively the well-known BODIPY and compared the optical properties of DNA base modification 6 with that of DNA base substitute $7.^{21}$ In fact, 6^{25} exhibits slightly preferred pairing with adenine in the counterstrand and is tolerated by DNA polymerase[s](#page-5-0) in primer [e](#page-5-0)xtension experiments²⁶ but shows dramatically reduced quantum yields.

In contrast, 7 exhibits high quantum yields but no preferential base-pairing properties. Most importantly, DNA strands modified with 7 show excellent brightness (compared to fluorescein), which makes this fluorescent label a promising tool for molecular imaging.

(Structure Block 2)
FLUORESCENCE SHIFTS WITH THIAZOLE ORANGE AND THIAZOLE RED: FROM TO DIMERS TO "TRAFFIC" LIGHTS

As living cells show strong intrinsic background fluorescence, it is of utmost importance to design molecular probes with excitation beyond 450 nm and large wavelength shift between excitation and emission to rule out the risk of wrong fluorescence readouts. This can be achieved by combination of two labels to increase fluorescence intensity upon binding to a complementary target sequence (A) or to induce a wavelength shift between excitation and emission, thus leading to a visual fluorescence color change (B).

(A) The chromophore of thiazole orange (TO), probably one of the best known representatives of the cyanine dyes, exhibits strong fluorescence intensity increase upon binding to duplex DNA^{27} This property has been very successfully used by Seitz et al. in the so-called forced intercalation TO−PNA probes.²⁸ We fi[rs](#page-5-0)t incorporated TO into oligonucleotides (DNA1, DNA2) via the quinolinium nitrogen bound to our previously mentio[ne](#page-5-0)d (S)-3-amino-1,2-propanediol linker. However, the sensitivity of TO as DNA base substitution 8 to environmental changes was not maintained. Hence, we combined 8 with 5-nitroindole (NI) as base surrogate 9 to monitor DNA hybridization by fluorescence enhancement (Figure 1).²⁹ DNA1 bears only the TO modification 8, whereas DNA2 bears additionally NI in a distance of one base pair away f[ro](#page-5-0)m TO. Fluorescence quenching of 8 occurs only in single-stranded DNA2 due to photoinduced short-range electron transfer from 8 to 9. Upon hybridization of DNA2 with the complementary strand, a fluorescence intensity increase can be observed that is caused by separation of TO from NI and thus interruption of

Figure 1. DNA building blocks 8 (TO) and 9 (NI) and sequences of DNA1 and DNA2 (left). Schematic illustration (middle). Fluorescence spectra, 2.5 μM DNA, 10 mM NaP_i buffer (pH 7.0), 250 mM NaCl, 20 °C, λ_{exc} = 530 nm (right).

Figure 2. DNA building block 10 (TO) and sequences DNA3 and DNA4 (left). Schematic illustration (middle). UV/vis absorption and fluorescence spectra, 2.5 μ M DNA, 10 mM NaP_i buffer (pH 7.0), 250 mM NaCl, 20 °C, $\lambda_{\text{exc}} = 490$ nm (right).

Figure 3. DNA building blocks 11 and 12 (TR) and sequences DNA5−DNA8 (left). Schematic illustration (middle). UV/vis absorption and fluorescence spectra, 2.5 μM DNA, 10 mM NaP_i buffer (pH 7.0), 250 mM NaCl, 20 °C, $\lambda_{\text{exc}} = 490$ nm (right).

short-range electron transfer. This concept of hybridizationsensitive oligonucleotide probes can be transferred to the commercially available Cy3 dye. In the meantime, Seitz et al. developed a new TO base surrogate with a short tether that responds to hybridization without the use of a second label and electron transfer.³⁰

(B) Although approach A showed an applicable fluorescence intensity enhan[cem](#page-5-0)ent, it relies on single fluorescent color readout, and thus undesired fluorescence quenching inside cells cannot completely be ruled out. Hence, dual labels that change their emission maximum upon hybridization represent important alternatives for imaging: (B) In order to develop wavelengthshifting DNA/RNA probes, two thiazole−cyanine-based fluorophores were combined as interstrand chromophore pairs. Both dyes are incorporated into the DNA/RNA backbone (the 3′- and 5′-termini remain unlabeled) and are forced in close proximity to each other by the surrounding double helical architecture. Due to photoelectronic interactions, the emission is shifted bathochromically, yielding a visual color change.

If two TO dyes are incorporated into DNA3 via the benzothiazole nitrogen (10), the dimer shows differences that are characteristic for excitonic interactions (Figure 2). Similar results have previously been observed for dimeric dyes (like TOTO), which are used for noncovalent staining of nucleic acids.31−³³ Most recently, Okamoto et al. used TO and other cyanine dimers as covalent 2′-deoxyuridine labels in the socalle[d](#page-5-0) [EC](#page-5-0)HO probes (exciton-controlled hybridizationsensitive fluorescent oligonucleotide).³⁴ ECHO probes show an emission intensity enhancement upon hybridization with their target sequence. In contrast, the [TO](#page-5-0) dimer in DNA3 does

not primarily show fluorescence quenching (which would be typical for excitonically coupled dyes) but exhibits a remarkably red-shifted emission from the TO typical value of 530 nm in DNA4 (green) to 580 nm (orange). This excimer-type emission is caused by the helical twist in the TO dimer, yielding an apparent Stokes' shift of 94 nm.³⁵ The antiparallel orientation of the two TO dyes in DNA3 is crucial. We subsequently transferred this concept to RN[A a](#page-5-0)nd showed that the interstrand TO dimer could be applied for imaging of transfected CHO cells. The yellow colored emission was distinguishable from the green TO monomer by confocal microscopy.³⁶

The major disadvantage of the TO dimer in terms of selectivity is the partial overlay of excitation [an](#page-5-0)d fluorescence wavelengths (green and orange). Hence, we developed the concept further to generate an even larger wavelength shift. We replaced one TO dye by thiazole red (TR). The difference between DNA building blocks $11/12$ (TR) and $9/10$ (TO) is the longer methine bridge that shifts absorption and emission to longer wavelengths. As the absorption of TR overlaps pretty well with the emission of TO, both chromophores can be combined as an interstrand energy transfer pair in DNA (Figure 3). 37

We combined 11 with 10 (in DNA5 and DNA7) and 12 with 10 (in DNA6 and DNA8) as interstrand chr[om](#page-5-0)ophore pairs. It is important to point out that the melting temperature (T_m) of such doubly labeled DNA is reduced by less than 2 °C in comparison to natural A−T base pairs, although both dyes TO and TR are significantly larger than natural DNA bases. The sequence complementarity of the neighboring sequence is not altered. The energy transfer from TO to TR shows highest efficiencies in DNA6 and DNA8 with red-to-green ratios (R/G)

Figure 5. Sequences of DNA1a and DNA10b and schematic illustration of the aptasensor (left). Fluorescence spectra and target selectivity (right), 2.5 μM DNA, 10 mM NaP_i buffer (pH 7.0), 250 mM NaCl, 20 °C, $λ_{\text{exc}} = 490$ nm.

of up to 6. The linkage of the TR dye via its benzothiazole nitrogen (12) to the DNA backbone was crucial. DNA6 was microinjected in CHO-K1 cells and imaged by confocal microscopy.³⁷ Remarkably, the R/G ratio of 6 persists even inside cells. Moreover, a systematic variation of orientations of 10 and 12 (se[e F](#page-5-0)igure 3) was evaluated and improved the R/G ratio to 20.³⁸ Alternatively, the distance dependence showed that a DNA duplex carry[in](#page-2-0)g one A−T pair as a spacer between the chro[mop](#page-5-0)hores increased the R/G ratio to 16. Together, the latter results show that the TR fluorescence intensities (when excited at 490 nm = selective for 10) vary due to different excitonic interactions that interfere with energy transfer. If ground-state TO/TR dimers were excited, they cannot undergo energy transfer, given the fact that energy requires the selective excitation of an uncoupled energy donor (TO) and the proximity of an unexcited acceptor (TR). In case of the TO/TR pair, excitonic interactions can be observed mainly by the difference in TR extinction. Obviously, the DNA double helical architecture and the distance between the fluorophores control the angle between the transition dipole moments and thereby influence the energy transfer efficiency. This effect was similarly, but more systematically, found with extended cytosine fluorophores by Wilhelmsson et al.³⁹ and pyrene/perylene fluorophores by Asanuma et al.⁴⁰

[AP](#page-5-0)PLICATIONS OF ["](#page-5-0)DNA/RNA TRAFFIC LIGHTS"

Conventional fluorescent tools for nucleic acid imaging such as molecular beacons (MBs) typically monitor fluorescence intensity changes. $41,42$ However, imaging that relies on a single wavelength in cellular media always carries the risk of wrong readout due to [unde](#page-5-0)sired nonspecific opening of the MBs. Thus, many efforts have put into the design low-noise stem-less PNA MBs,⁴³ quencher-free MBs,⁴⁴ or MBs based on excimer fluorescence readout.45−⁴⁷ New in-stem-labeled MBs with clear fluorescenc[e](#page-5-0) color readout were [dev](#page-5-0)eloped with 10 (TO) and 12 (TR) as an en[erg](#page-6-0)y [t](#page-6-0)ransfer pair (Figure 4). When the hairpin DNA9 hybridizes with the complementary target, a gradual color change from green (530 nm) to red (670 nm) can be observed.⁴⁸ To compare with conventional, termini-labeled MBs, we used the enhancement factor f that represents the fluorescence [ra](#page-6-0)tio I_{530}/I_{670} of the duplex relative to that of the hairpin form.⁴⁹ DNA9 gave an enhancement factor f of 34, whereas a conventional MB that was terminally labeled with fluorescein a[nd](#page-6-0) rhodamine⁴⁸ revealed a factor f of 3.9, a value that is almost one magnitude lower than that of DNA9.

In the past years, a nu[mb](#page-6-0)er of aptamer-based sensors (the so-called "aptasensors") were described with respect to different bioanalytical applications.50−⁵² Our wavelength-shifting aptasensor $DNA10a/b^{38}$ is based on a 27-mer sequence originally reported by Patel et al.⁵³ [and c](#page-6-0)ut between nucleotides 14 and 15 to form two sin[gle](#page-5-0) strands (Figure 5). To ensure good target binding properties, 10 [\(T](#page-6-0)O) and 12 (TR) were placed near the left terminus embedded in a short extension sequence that builds the required DNA architecture. During stepwise addition of adenosine (A), the red TR signal rises due to

Figure 6. Sequences of RNA11 and RNA12 (left). R/G ratios measured in CHO-K1 cells (right).

target-mediated duplex formation. The green emission in the presence of targets with high structural similarity, such as 2-aminopurine (2-AP) and deoxyguanosine (dG), revealed a high selectivity of this aptasensor.³⁸ Recently, we have shown that our DNA "traffic lights" can also visualize two consecutive DNA strand displacements due to [a](#page-5-0) distinct fluorescence color change from green to red and back to green.⁵⁴ These results highlight the potential of our concept as a bioanalytic tool to study more complex DNA nanostructures as [w](#page-6-0)ell as macromolecular switches in the future.

The more challenging application for the TO/TR pairs is molecular imaging. The potential of small interfering RNA (siRNA) not only as a tool to study gene functions in eukaryotic cells^{55−57} but also in terms of therapeutics suffers from poor stability in biological media and insufficient delivery to target cells. [To](#page-6-0) [ove](#page-6-0)rcome these drawbacks, it is crucial to be able to track siRNA integrity during the delivery process. However, only very few approaches with a dual emission readout are described in the literature.⁵⁸⁻⁶⁰ Conventional labeling at the 3′- and 5′-termini suffers from an inefficient energy transfer between the chromophore[s](#page-6-0) a[nd](#page-6-0) a very poor dynamic range from 0 to 10% for the discrimination of processed siRNA. We used the TO/TR-modified RNA to create a novel biosensor with bright fluorescence and applicable contrast ratios ($R/G =$ 6:1 in cell lysate). 61 The labeling region within the siRNA sequence of RNA11 and RNA12 was carefully chosen to preserve the gene [sile](#page-6-0)ncing function toward the knockdown of enhanced green fluorescence protein (EGFP) in CHO-K1 cells (Figure 6). The TO/TR combination destabilizes RNA duplexes typically by only 3 °C (ΔT_{m}). An exceptional broad and sensitive range from 0 to 50% processed siRNA was observable. Real time experiments allowed monitoring cellular uptake and integrity in living cells by the R/G ratio. Thereby, our RNA "traffic lights" show higher sensitivity compared to several single and multicolor fluorescent mRNA sensors developed on RNA base surrogates recently.62[−]⁶⁶

■ EX[TENS](#page-6-0)ION OF THE CONCEPT: 2'-"CLICK" FLUOROPHORES AND NIR

So far, TO, TR, and other dyes were incorporated into DNA by linking them to (S) -3-amino-1,2-propanediol.³⁷ However, each artificial DNA building block requires a time-consuming synthesis. On the other hand, the Huisgen−[Sh](#page-5-0)arpless−Meldal cycloaddition "click"-type chemistry67−⁶⁹ is well-established for σ ligonucleotide modification^{70−72} and allows evaluating dyes very rapidly as covalent DNA and [RNA](#page-6-0) labels. We focused on the postsynthetic "click"-typ[e mod](#page-6-0)ification of 2′-propargylated uridine and showed that this type of modification does not affect the thermal duplex stability and that the dye-modified uridine exhibits preferential stability with adenine as a counter base.⁷³ The combination of TO as energy donor that was incorporated via such postsynthetic 2′-modification 13 with 12 (TR[\)](#page-6-0) as "conventional" DNA base substitute yielded dual emitting DNA probes with good fluorescence readout properties.⁷⁴ Moreover, the styryl dye CyIQ (cyanine indole quinoline) 14 exhibits not only bright fluorescence but also excellent ph[oto](#page-6-0)stability and hence represents an important alternative to the rather photolabile $TO.^{74,75}$

Molecular imaging by means of so-called far-red, near-infrared (NIR) fluorophores is bec[omin](#page-6-0)g more important based on their high sensitivity, excellent temporal and spatial resolution, and their potential for multichannel imaging. Hence, our postsynthetic labeling approach was extended to fluorophores with large apparent Stokes' shifts (>100 nm for MegaStokes dyes). The combination of the 2′-modifications 15 and 16 in two complementary strands enhanced the apparent Stokes' shifts up to 230 nm. The FRET-type process shifts the emission to the NIR range. On the other hand, upconversion nanoparticles (UCNPs) are capable of converting low-energy NIR radiation into visible light by a two-photon process. The silica shells of these UCNPs bear azide groups. Via terminal 2′-ethynyl groups, DNA can be conjugated, which makes these particle soluble in water.⁷⁶ Confocal microscopy by our lab⁷⁶ and similarly by Lu et al.77 revealed the high potential of these bright UCNP−DNA conju[gat](#page-6-0)es for live cell imaging in the NI[R r](#page-6-0)ange where they act as "[nan](#page-6-0)o-sized" lamps.

In summary, the DNA base substitute approach by the (S) -3amino-1,2-propanediol linker allows placing two fluorophores in a precise way inside a given DNA framework. The double helical architecture around the fluorophores, especially the DNA-induced twist, is crucial for the desired photophysical interactions. Excitonic, excimer, and energy transfer interactions yield fluorescent DNA and RNA probes with dual emission color readout. Especially, our DNA and RNA "traffic light" that combines the green emission of TO with the red emission of

The Journal of Organic Chemistry JOCSynopsis

TR represents an important tool for molecular imaging and can be applied as aptasensors and as probes to monitor the siRNA delivery into cells. The concept can be extended to the synthetically easier to access postsynthetic 2′-modifications and the NIR range. Thereby, the pool of tailor-made fluorescent nucleic acid conjugates can be extended.

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: wagenknecht@kit.edu. Fax: 49 721 608-47486.

Notes

The auth[ors declare no compe](mailto:wagenknecht@kit.edu)ting financial interest. Biographies

Carolin Holzhauser obtained her diploma in Chemistry from the University of Regensburg (Germany). She completed her Ph.D. work in 2012 at the Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT) focusing on wavelength-shifting DNA and RNA probes.

Hans-Achim Wagenknecht is chair professor in the Institute of Organic Chemistry at the Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT). His research group focuses on the chemistry of functional nucleic acids.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research is supported by the DFG (Grant Nos. WA 1386/ 9 and WA 1386/13).

■ REFERENCES

- (1) Chang, P. V.; Bertozzi, C. R. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 8864.
- (2) Lavis, L. D.; Raines, R. T. ACS Chem. Biol. 2008, 3, 142.
- (3) Berezin, M. Y.; Achilefu, S. Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 2641.

(4) Kobayashi, H.; Ogawa, M.; Alford, R.; Choydke, P. L.; Urano, Y. Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 2620.

- (6) Lee, J.-S.; Vendrelli, M.; Chang, Y.-T. Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol. 2011, 15, 760.
- (7) Giepmans, B. N. G.; Adams, S. R.; Ellisman, M. H.; Tsien, R. Y. Science 2006, 312, 217.
- (8) Armitage, B. A. Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol. 2011, 15, 806.
- (9) Schmucker, W.; Wagenknecht, H.-A. Synlett 2012, 23, 2435.
- (10) Weisbrod, S. H.; Marx, A. Chem. Commun. 2008, 5675.
- (11) El-Sagheer, A. H.; Brown, T. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2010, 39, 1388.
- (12) Gramlich, P. M.; Wirges, C. T.; Manetto, A.; Carell, T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 8350.
- (13) Hocek, M.; Fojta, M. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2008, 6, 2233.
- (14) Amann, N.; Wagenknecht, H.-A. Tetrahedron Lett. 2003, 44, 1685.
- (15) Meggers, E.; Zhang, L. Acc. Chem. Res. 2010, 43, 1092.
- (16) Christensen, U. B.; Pedersen, E. B. Helv. Chim. Acta 2003, 86,
- 2090. (17) Huber, R.; Amann, N.; Wagenknecht, H.-A. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 744.
- (18) Valis, L.; Wagenknecht, H.-A. Synlett 2007, 2111.
- (19) Kashida, H.; Murayama, K.; Toda, T.; Asanuma, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 1285.
- (20) Barbaric, J.; Wanninger-Weiss, C.; Wagenknecht, H.-A. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 364.
- (21) Ehrenschwender, T.; Wagenknecht, H.-A. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 2301.
- (22) Holzhauser, C.; Berndl, S.; Menacher, F.; Breunig, M.; Göpferich, A.; Wagenknechr, H.-A. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 1239.
- (23) Lachmann, D.; Berndl, S.; Wolfbeis, O. S.; Wagenknecht, H.-A. Beilstein J. Org. Chem. 2010, 6, No. 13.
- (24) Sinkeldam, R. W.; Greco, N. J.; Tor, Y. Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 2579.
- (25) Ehrenschwender, T.; Wagenknecht, H.-A. Synthesis 2008, 3657.
- (26) Wanninger-Weiss, C.; Pasquale, F. D.; Ehrenschwender, T.;
- Marx, A.; Wagenknecht, H.-A. Chem. Commun. 2008, 1443.
- (27) Mishra, A.; Behera, R. K.; Behera, P. K.; Mishra, B. K.; Behera, G. B. Chem. Rev. 2000, 100, 1973.
- (28) Kohler, O.; Venkatrao, D.; Jarikote, D. V.; Seitz, O. ChemBioChem 2005, 6, 69.
- (29) Menacher, F.; Rubner, M.; Berndl, S.; Wagenknecht, H.-A. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 4263.
- (30) Bethge, L.; Singh, I.; Seitz, O. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2010, 8, 2439. (31) Fü rstenberg, A.; Julliard, M. D.; Deligeorgiev, T. G.; Gadjev, N.
- I.; Vasilev, A. A.; Vauthey, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 7661.
- (32) Rye, H. S.; Yue, S.; Wemmer, D. E.; Queseda, M. A.; Haugland,
- R. P.; Mathies, R. A.; Glazer, A. N. Nucleic Acids Res. 1992, 20, 2803.
- (33) West, W.; Pearce, S. J. Phys. Chem. 1965, 69, 1894.
- (34) Okamoto, A. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 5815.
- (35) Berndl, S.; Wagenknecht, H. A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 2418.
- (36) Berndl, S.; Breunig, M.; Gopferich, A.; Wagenknecht, H. A. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2010, 8, 997.
- (37) Holzhauser, C.; Berndl, S.; Menacher, F.; Breunig, M.; Gopferich, A.; Wagenknecht, H. A. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 1239.
- (38) Holzhauser, C.; Wagenknecht, H. A. ChemBioChem 2012, 13, 1136.
- (39) Bö rjesson, K.; Preus, S.; El-Sagheer, A. H.; Brown, T.;
- Albinsson, B.; Wilhelmsson, L. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 4288. (40) Kato, T.; Kashida, H.; Kishida, H.; Yada, H.; Okamoto, H.;
- Asanuma, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 741.
- (41) Tyagi, S.; Kramer, F. R. Nat. Biotechnol. 1996, 14, 303.
- (42) Wang, K.; Tang, Z.; Yang, C. J.; Kim, Y.; Fang, X.; Li, W.; Wu, Y.; Medley, C. D.; Cao, Z.; Li, J.; Colon, P.; Lin, H.; Tan, W. Angew.
- Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 856.
- (43) Socher, E.; Bethge, L.; Knoll, A.; Jungnick, N.; Herrmann, A.; Seitz, O. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 9555.
- (44) Venkatesan, N.; Seo, Y. J.; Kim, B. H. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2008, 37, 648.

⁽⁵⁾ Sapsford, K. E.; Berti, L.; Medintz, I. L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 4562.

The Journal of Organic Chemistry **State Act and State Act and State**

- (45) Berndl, S.; Wagenknecht, H.-A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 2418.
- (46) Hara, Y.; Fuijii, T.; Kashida, H.; Sekiguchi, K.; Liang, X.; Niwa, K.; Takase, T.; Yashida, Y.; Asanuma, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 5502.
- (47) Häner, R.; Biner, S. M.; Langenegger, S. M.; Meng, T.; Malinovskii, V. L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 1227.
- (48) Holzhauser, C.; Wagenknecht, H. A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 7268.
- (49) Jockusch, S.; Marti, A. A.; Turro, N. J.; Li, Z.; Li, X.; Ju, J.; Stevens, N.; Akins, D. L. Photochem. Photobiol. Sci. 2006, 5, 493.
- (50) Iliuk, A. B.; Hu, L. H.; Tao, W. A. Anal. Chem. 2011, 83, 4440.
- (51) Famulok, M.; Hartig, J. S.; Mayer, G. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 3715.
- (52) Cho, E. J.; Lee, J. W.; Ellington, A. D. Annu. Rev. Anal. Chem. 2009, 2, 241.
- (53) Lin, C. H.; Patel, D. J. Chem. Biol. 1997, 4, 817.
- (54) Monnet, X.; Bataille, A.; Magalhaes, E.; Barrois, J.; Le Corre, M.; Gosset, C.; Guerin, L.; Richard, C.; Teboul, J. L. Intensive Care Med. 2013, 39, 93.
- (55) Fire, A.; Xu, S. Q.; Montgomery, M. K.; Kostas, S. A.; Driver, S. E.; Mello, C. C. Nature 1998, 391, 806.
- (56) Elbashir, S. M.; Harborth, J.; Lendeckel, W.; Yalcin, A.; Weber, K.; Tuschl, T. Nature 2001, 411, 494.
- (57) Li, Z. H.; Rana, T. M. Acc. Chem. Res. 2012, 45, 1122.
- (58) Raemdonck, K.; Remaut, K.; Lucas, B.; Sanders, N. N.; Demeester, J.; De Smedt, S. C. Biochemistry 2006, 45, 10614.
- (59) Jarve, A.; Muller, J.; Kim, I. H.; Rohr, K.; MacLean, C.; Fricker,
- G.; Massing, U.; Eberle, F.; Dalpke, A.; Fischer, R.; Trendelenburg, M. F.; Helm, M. Nucleic Acids Res. 2007, 35, e124.
- (60) Shin, S.; Kwon, H. M.; Yoon, K. S.; Kim, D. E.; Hah, S. S. Mol. BioSyst. 2011, 7, 2110.
- (61) Holzhauser, C.; Liebl, R.; Gö pferich, A.; Wagenknecht, H.-A.; Breunig, M. ACS Chem. Biol. 2013, 8, 890.
- (62) Kummer, S.; Knoll, A.; Socher, E.; Bethge, L.; Herrmann, A.; Seitz, O. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 1931.
- (63) Kummer, S.; Knoll, A.; Sucher, E.; Bethge, L.; Herrmann, A.; Seitz, O. Bioconjugate Chem. 2012, 23, 2051.
- (64) Okamoto, A. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 5815.
- (65) Carreon, J. R.; Stewart, K. M.; Mahon, K. P.; Shin, S.; Kelley, S. O. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2007, 17, 5182.
- (66) Stevens, N.; O'Connor, N.; Vishwasrao, H.; Samaroo, D.; Kandel, E. R.; Akins, D. L.; Drain, C. M.; Turro, N. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 7182.
- (67) Huisgen, R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1962, 2, 565.
- (68) Wang, Q.; Chan, T. R.; Hilgraf, R.; Fokin, V. V.; Sharpless, K. B.; Finn, M. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 3192.
- (69) Meldal, M.; Tornoe, C. W. Chem. Rev. 2008, 108, 2952.
- (70) Gramlich, P. M. E.; Wirges, C. T.; Manetto, A.; Carell, T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 8350.
- (71) Grotli, M.; Douglas, M.; Eritja, R.; Sproat, B. S. Tetrahedron 1998, 54, 5899.
- (72) El-Sagheer, A. H.; Brown, T. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2010, 39, 1388.
- (73) Berndl, S.; Herzig, N.; Kele, P.; Lachmann, D.; Li, X. H.; Wolfbeis, O. S.; Wagenknecht, H. A. Bioconjugate Chem. 2009, 20, 558.
- (74) Holzhauser, C.; Rubner, M. M.; Wagenknecht, H.-A. Photochem. Photobiol. Sci. 2013, 12, 722.
- (75) Rubner, M.; Holzhauser, C.; Bohlander, P.; Wagenknecht, H.-A. ̈ Chem.-Eur. J. 2012, 18, 1299.
- (76) Rubner, M.; Achatz, D.; Mader, H.; Stolwijk, J.; Wegener, J.; Harms, G.; Wolfbeis, O. S.; Wagenknecht, H.-A. ChemPlusChem 2012, 77, 129.
- (77) Li, L.-L.; Wu, P.; Hwang, K.; Lu, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 2411.